



Evaluation Summary Report

Working Group: Prediction and Control of Cardiac Alternans Meeting 1

May 02-04, 2016

Pamela Bishop
Associate Director for STEM Evaluation

Robin Taylor
Postdoctoral Evaluation Fellow

National Institute for Mathematical and Biological Synthesis

June 2016

This work was conducted at the National Institute for Mathematical and Biological Synthesis, sponsored by the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture through NSF Award #EF-0832858, with additional support from The University of Tennessee, Knoxville.

Figure 1. Responses for ‘As a result of participating in this working group, I have a better understanding of...’





Figure 2. Satisfaction agreement for working group meeting one



8 participants found the working group had an effective format or achieving its goals.

0 participants found it not effective.

Figure 3. Frequencies of yes/no responses to survey questions with open-ended feedback





Figure 4. Agreement ratings, using a scale of Far short of expectations to Far exceeds expectations, to how the following aspects of your working group compared to your expectations before becoming a member of the group



Open-ended feedback: “What do you feel was the most useful aspect of the working group?”

The flexible format with a lot of time for group discussions.

the amount of time we spend together with no outside distractions.

Being able to discuss research results in detail, without the rush associated with typical conference presentations, and to show unpublished results. Together these helped us identify new areas for collaboration.

Exchange the ideas each other.

Finding out more about overlapping areas of interest that I share with other members of the group.

Open-ended feedback: “What, if anything, would you change about the working group?”

It would be nice to have the ability to bring students/postdocs working with us on this topic, even if we had to pay for their trip. This would be incredibly educational for them.

allow for students of the participants to attend. this will allow stronger interactions between the participants and would be extremely beneficial for students growth.

Adding another expert or two, especially in experimental techniques and/or alternans and its control, probably would be helpful.

Timing of meeting(s). Would vastly prefer meetings that don't overlap as much with my teaching schedule. For example, I'm usually available for most of January and Jun-Aug. May and December are the least convenient months for me.

Open-ended feedback: Additional comments:

This is a great program, I'll be happy to support it in any way I can.

Although I did not start new specific collaborative work with any of the other members, I did develop plans to do so after we each do new work to be discussed during the next meeting.