

## CAULOBACTER CELL-CYCLE MODEL WORKING GROUP MEETING 1

**OCTOBER 20-21, 2016** 

## **Evaluation Report**

Prepared by Pamela Bishop, Director Robin T. Taylor, Postdoctoral Evaluation Fellow National Institute for STEM Evaluation and Research National Institute for STEM Evaluation and Research 115 Philander P. Claxton Education Building The University of Tennessee, Knoxville p. (865) 974-9348 f. (865) 974-9300

http://www.nimbios.org/evaluation


This work was conducted at the National Institute for Mathematical and Biological Synthesis, sponsored by the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture through NSF Award #EF-0832858, with additional support from The University of Tennessee, Knoxville.




## *Figure 1*. Responses for 'As a result of participating in this working group, I have a better understanding of...'



Figure 2. Satisfaction agreement for working group meeting one



out of 5 participants indicated the working group had an effective format for achieving its goals.



## *Figure 3.* Frequencies of *yes/no* responses to survey questions with open-ended feedback

**Open-ended feedback:** "What do you feel was the most useful aspect of the working group?"

1. Learning about modeling signaling pathways in a different model system

2. Learning interesting questions about a different model system

3. Learning about different approaches to modeling signaling pathways

The group discussions; discussing potential collaborations

**Open-ended feedback:** "What if anything would you change about the working group?"

More time spent discussing or identifying which questions could best be pursued by interactive collaborations between experimentalists and modelers.